

IBM and Red Hat Leftovers

By *Roy Schestowitz*

Created 16/02/2020 - 7:45pm

Submitted by Roy Schestowitz on Sunday 16th of February 2020 07:45:17 PM Filed under [Red Hat](#) [1]

- [Can IBM's New Duopoly Produce A Unifying Vision?](#) [2]

- [IBM Watson And The Value Of Open](#) [3] [Ed: Well, Watson is proprietary software. This is cheap, low-grade openwashing. See [authors here](#) [4]. Forbes apparently takes IBM money for marketing/propaganda.]

Not so long ago, back in 2011, IBM's artificial intelligence technology (later packaged and sold as Watson) triumphed in the game of Jeopardy. Watson played against the two most successful contestants ever to appear on the show. This victory reflected the result of an enormous amount of work done by IBM and others to mine human language for the semantic meaning of words, and allow a machine to answer Jeopardy questions that would have been impossible for any computer just a few years earlier.

- [IBM CTO: Edge Will Implode Without Open Source](#) [5] [Ed: This guy is CTO of IBM proprietary software (Watson). Do as I say, not as I do...?]

Edge computing devices are proliferating at an astonishing rate, jumping from about 15 billion devices today to about 55 billion by 2022, according to Rob High, VP and CTO of IBM Watson.

- [IBM on the first open source security platform](#) [6]

- [Crunchy PostgreSQL for Kubernetes 4.2 Receives Red Hat OpenShift Operator Certification](#) [7]

Crunchy Data, the leading provider of trusted open source PostgreSQL technology and support, is pleased to announce that Crunchy PostgreSQL for Kubernetes 4.2 has achieved the "auto pilot" capability level as part of Red Hat OpenShift Operator Certification. The "auto pilot" capability level designates the highest level of automation associated with Operator technologies, including PostgreSQL cluster self-healing after a failover event and advanced high-availability configurations for workloads sensitive to transaction loss.

- [Minicomputers and The Soul of a New Machine](#) [8]

The Command Line Heroes podcast is back, and this season it covers the machines that run all the programming languages I covered last season. As the podcast staff puts it:

"This season, we'll look at what happens when idealistic teams come together to build visionary machines. Machines made with leaps of faith and a lot of hard, often unrecognized, work in basements and stifling cubicles. Machines that brought teams together and changed us as a society in ways we could only dream of."

This first episode looks at the non-fiction book (and engineering classic), The Soul of a New Machine, to look at a critical moment in computing history. It covers the transition from large, hulking mainframes to the intermediate step of the minicomputer, which will eventually lead us to the PC revolution that we're still living in the wake of.

- [Fedora 31 : Can be better? part 006.](#) [9]

I try to use the Selinux MLS with Fedora 31 and I wrote on my last article about Fedora 31 : Can be better? part 005. After relabeling the files and start the environment I get multiple errors and I ask an answer at fedoraproject lists: This is an example of the problem of implementing MLS in Fedora and can be remedied because MLS Selinux is old in implementing Selinux.

- [\[Older\] Red Hat fights for software freedom by filing a brief with the US Supreme Court](#) [10]

The United States Supreme Court has agreed to hear an appeal of a lower court decision: Oracle v. Google. Red Hat, one of the leaders of the open source community, has emphasized to the USSC that software interfaces should not become subject to copyright protection and are not copyrightable by design. Read the complete amicus brief filed by Red Hat and IBM.

[...]

As we state clearly in the opening statement of our brief, Red Hat ? as a leader in the open

source community ? emphasised to the USSC the critical importance of maintaining the long-standing view that software interfaces should not be subject to copyright protection.

Because computer programs achieve compatibility and interoperability with each other through specifically defined interfaces, the concern is that if copyright protection were to exist in such interfaces, the open source community could face significant barriers in the creation and implementation of new software modules to replace existing modules. This consequence may chill the innovation that is generated by open source software community development.

Our brief also recognises that computer interfaces being uncopyrightable does not jeopardise copyright protection in software programs generally. Red Hat fully supports software programmers developing value and differentiating themselves in the marketplace via implementation code. In fact, the more freely that computer interfaces are available, the more of a market may exist for particular implementations using an existing computer interface.

[Red Hat](#)

Source URL: <http://www.tuxmachines.org/node/134144>

Links:

- [1] <http://www.tuxmachines.org/taxonomy/term/142>
- [2] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/johnwebster/2020/02/11/can-ibms-new-duopoly-produce-a-unifying-vision/>
- [3] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/henrychesbrough/2020/02/12/ibm-watson-and-the-value-of-open/>
- [4] <https://www.forbes.com/sites/ibm/2020/02/14/meteorology-101-rules-for-building-a-better-forecast/>
- [5] <https://www.sdxcentral.com/articles/news/ibm-cto-edge-will-implode-without-open-source/2020/02/>
- [6] <https://www.zdnet.com/video/ibm-on-the-first-open-source-security-platform/>
- [7] <https://finance.yahoo.com/news/crunchy-postgresql-kubernetes-4-2-201400401.html>
- [8] <https://opensource.com/article/20/2/minicomputers-and-soul-new-machine>
- [9] <http://catalin-festila.blogspot.com/2020/02/fedora-31-can-be-better-part-006.html>
- [10] <https://jaxenter.com/red-hat-software-freedom-167678.html>